International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology
Available online at : www.ijsrst.com
OPEN ACCESS
Print ISSN: 2395-6011 | Online ISSN: 2395-602X
doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST25123134

Advanced Sentiment Analysis Models for Crisis-Time Brand Trust Monitoring and Recovery

Samuel Augustine Umezurike[1], Oluwatolani Vivian Akinrinoye[2], Omolola Temitope Kufile[3], Abiodun Yusuf Onifade[4], Bisayo Oluwatosin Otokiti[5], Onyinye Gift Ejike[6]

[1]Jo and Samak Global Services Ltd, Benin Kebbi, Nigeria
[2]University of Pittsburgh (MBA), Pittsburgh USA
[3]Amazon Advertising, United States
[4]Independent Researcher, California, USA
[5]Department of Business and Entrepreneurship, Kwara State University, Nigeria
[6]The Velvet Expression Nigeria

ARTICLEINFO

Article History:

Accepted : 10 June 2025
Published: 17 June 2025

Publication Issue :
Volume 12, Issue 3
May-June-2025

Page Number :
1236-1251

ABSTRACT

In an era where brand perception can be reshaped within minutes on digital platforms, organizations face immense reputational risks during crises. Whether due to product failures, executive scandals, data breaches, or socio-political missteps, brand crises elicit public backlash that unfolds rapidly across social media, news outlets, and consumer forums. Monitoring and restoring brand trust under such volatile conditions demands tools capable of real-time, context-sensitive, and nuanced analysis of public sentiment. This paper explores the role of advanced sentiment analysis models in crisis-time brand trust monitoring and recovery. Drawing on recent advancements in natural language processing (NLP), deep learning, and emotion-aware AI, we examine how modern models—such as transformer-based architectures (e.g., BERT, RoBERTa), hybrid rule-based-deep learning systems, and affective computing algorithms—outperform traditional lexicon and statistical techniques in capturing the subtleties of sentiment, emotion, and trust dynamics during brand crises. We develop a conceptual framework that integrates sentiment analytics with crisis communication strategies and outline its application through cross-sector scenarios. Through a systematic literature review, we highlight challenges in multilingual processing, sarcasm detection, temporal sentiment tracking, and model explainability. The paper concludes with recommendations for deploying sentiment analysis tools responsibly, ensuring ethical AI governance, and aligning model outputs with actionable recovery strategies for brand managers.

Keywords-sentiment analysis, brand trust, crisis communication, social media, NLP models, trust recovery

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Fragile Nature of Brand Trust in the Digital Age

Brand trust is a foundational element of consumer-brand relationships, influencing customer loyalty, purchase decisions, and long-term brand equity[1]. Unlike brand awareness or recognition, trust operates at an emotional and ethical level, reflecting consumers' beliefs in a brand's reliability, integrity, and alignment with their values. However, in today's interconnected digital landscape, this trust is more fragile than ever[2]. A single misstep be it a faulty product, controversial advertisement, executive scandal, or mishandling of a socio-political issue can precipitate a rapid erosion of consumer confidence, triggering reputational crises that ripple across digital channels in real time.

The volatility of brand trust is further amplified by the rise of social media, where consumers not only receive news about brand incidents but also actively shape the narrative. Platforms like Twitter, Facebook, Reddit, and TikTok serve as accelerants, enabling the viral spread of outrage, sarcasm, misinformation, and consumer activism[3]. Research shows that over 70% of crisis-related brand sentiment emerges online within the first 48 hours of the event[4]. In such an environment, static or delayed reputation management strategies are inadequate. Organizations need tools that can capture the real-time pulse of public opinion, understand its emotional tone, and anticipate shifts in trust dynamics.

1.2 Sentiment Analysis as a Strategic Asset in Crisis Management

Sentiment analysis, also known as opinion mining, involves the computational identification and classification of sentiments expressed in text[5]. Traditionally used to evaluate product reviews or customer feedback, sentiment analysis has evolved into a core capability for digital reputation monitoring[6]. In crisis scenarios, where the stakes are high and sentiment trajectories are volatile, sentiment analysis provides decision-makers with timely insights into public perception, media framing, and emotional undercurrents[7].

Advanced sentiment analysis models extend beyond basic polarity classification (positive, negative, neutral) to identify emotions such as anger, fear, disappointment, or sympathy each of which carries distinct implications for brand recovery. For instance, public anger may require acknowledgment and apology, whereas disappointment may necessitate transparency and commitment to change. As such, sentiment analysis informs the timing, tone, and content of crisis communication, guiding brands toward more effective trust-rebuilding strategies[8].

1.3 Evolution of Sentiment Analysis Technologies

While early sentiment analysis relied on lexicon-based approaches—using pre-defined dictionaries to match words with sentiment scores—these methods struggled with linguistic complexity, sarcasm, negation, and domain-specific language[9]. The emergence of machine learning (ML) and, more recently, deep learning (DL) transformed sentiment analysis into a data-driven field. Algorithms such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Naive Bayes, and

Random Forests improved classification accuracy but still required extensive feature engineering[10].

The breakthrough came with neural language models, especially transformer-based architectures like BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers), RoBERTa, and XLNet. These models capture contextual relationships between words in a sentence, enabling nuanced understanding of sentiment even in figurative, sarcastic, or emotionally ambiguous text[11]. Moreover, pre-trained models can be fine-tuned for specific domains e.g., crisis discourse, industry-specific language, or cultural idioms enhancing relevance and precision.

These advancements have led to the rise of emotion-aware sentiment analysis, aspect-based sentiment analysis (ABSA), and multimodal sentiment analysis (text, audio, visual) expanding the scope of brand trust monitoring from basic sentiment polarity to a more comprehensive understanding of public emotion[12].

1.4 Crisis Communication and the Dynamics of Brand Recovery

Crisis communication refers to the strategic management of information dissemination and public messaging during brand-threatening events. The goal is to mitigate reputational damage, maintain stakeholder trust, and lay the groundwork for long-term recovery. According to the Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) developed by Coombs[13], different types of crises require different response strategies—ranging from denial and justification to apology and compensation[9].

Effective crisis communication is not one-size-fits-all. It must be tailored to the emotional state of the audience, the nature of the transgression, and the brand's historical reputation. This tailoring requires a feedback loop between public sentiment and organizational messaging. Here, advanced sentiment analysis models function as sensors of public mood, providing the granularity and timeliness needed to adjust crisis responses dynamically[14].

Moreover, sentiment analytics can detect emerging shifts in sentiment before they escalate into full-blown crises—a capability known as crisis signal detection[15]. For example, a sudden spike in negative sentiment about a product defect may prompt a preemptive recall, avoiding reputational fallout. Similarly, real-time monitoring allows brands to gauge the effectiveness of their responses and iterate as needed[16].

1.5 Case Examples and Real-World Relevance

Recent corporate crises underscore the importance of AI-driven sentiment monitoring. During the 2018 Facebook-Cambridge Analytica data scandal, negative sentiment on Twitter surged by over 300% in two days, leading to a global decline in brand trust and stock value[17]. Brands like Nike, Uber, and H&M have similarly faced boycotts or public criticism following controversies, with social media sentiment playing a central role in shaping public reaction and guiding crisis response[18].

For instance, when United Airlines forcibly removed a passenger from an overbooked flight in 2017, the company's initial response was perceived as tone-deaf, exacerbating outrage. Real-time sentiment analysis could have flagged the emotional backlash earlier and informed a more empathetic response[19]. These examples illustrate that sentiment analytics are not merely observational tools they are strategic instruments in crisis leadership[20].

1.6 Research Problem and Objectives

Despite significant advancements in sentiment analysis, several gaps remain in its application to crisis-time brand trust monitoring:

- How well do existing models capture the emotional nuance of crisis discourse?
- What are the challenges in multilingual, multicultural, or industry-specific sentiment modeling?
- How can sentiment trends be translated into actionable communication strategies?
- What are the ethical implications of automated sentiment tracking in emotionally charged situations?

This paper addresses these questions through a conceptual and literature-based review, aiming to:

1. Examine state-of-the-art sentiment analysis models in crisis contexts;
2. Identify technical and ethical challenges in deploying these models;
3. Propose a framework for aligning sentiment analytics with brand recovery strategies;
4. Suggest future research directions for building explainable, adaptable, and human-centered sentiment systems.

1.7 Methodological Approach and Structure

Given the absence of primary data collection, this study adopts a literature-based conceptual methodology, drawing on peer-reviewed research, industry reports, and case analyses published between 2013 and 2023. Sources are reviewed using thematic coding aligned with key constructs: sentiment modeling techniques, brand trust metrics, crisis typologies, communication strategies, and ethical considerations[21].

The structure of the paper is as follows:

- Section 2: Literature Review – Surveys the evolution of sentiment analysis, models used in brand monitoring, crisis communication theory, and their intersection.
- Section 3: Methodology – Describes the review protocol, search strategy, and inclusion criteria.
- Section 4: Conceptual Framework – Proposes a model linking sentiment analysis outputs to communication interventions.
- Section 5: Application Scenarios – Illustrates use cases across industries.
- Section 6: Discussion – Evaluates strategic, technical, and ethical implications.
- Section 7: Conclusion and Recommendations – Summarizes insights and offers future research directions.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The field of sentiment analysis has seen rapid advancements in recent years, particularly in its application to brand reputation management. During times of crisis, when consumer trust in a brand is at risk, sentiment analysis provides critical insights into public perception and emotional tone. This literature review surveys the progression of sentiment analysis from basic polarity classification to advanced deep learning approaches, with a specific focus on crisis-time brand trust monitoring and recovery. It also examines the intersection of crisis communication theory and sentiment analysis, real-time monitoring needs, cross-cultural considerations, and ethical implications[22].

2.1 Evolution of Sentiment Analysis in Brand Monitoring

Sentiment analysis has transitioned from a novelty in computational linguistics to a core component of digital brand intelligence. Initially used to assess customer reviews, it now serves in crisis detection, marketing optimization, and public relations analytics. Scholars trace its conceptual roots to opinion mining, which emerged in early 2000s text mining literature[22].

As businesses recognized the impact of online discourse on brand equity, sentiment analysis became integral to brand monitoring systems. Studies by Pang and Lee and Liu formalized methods to extract sentiment orientation from product reviews and blogs[23]. However, the growth of social media platforms in the 2010s introduced new challenges and opportunities. Unlike structured product reviews, social media content is noisy, short-form, and laden with sarcasm, emojis, and cultural nuance.

By the mid-2010s, sentiment analysis evolved into an interdisciplinary effort, combining natural language processing (NLP), machine learning (ML), affective computing, and behavioral analytics. Today, it is a strategic capability used by brands to assess risk exposure, anticipate backlash, and monitor trust dynamics in real time[24].

2.2 Crisis Communication Theory and Brand Trust Recovery

Brand trust is particularly vulnerable during crises, which are defined by Coombs as unpredictable events that threaten reputational assets and stakeholder confidence. Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) posits that effective crisis responses depend on crisis type, stakeholder attributions, and prior brand reputation.

Trust, in this context, is more than consumer satisfaction; it is a belief in a brand's integrity, competence, and benevolence. When a crisis occurs such as a data breach, ethical scandal, or product failure—these trust pillars are shaken. Traditional crisis communication strategies involve public statements, apologies, compensation, and policy change. However, the effectiveness of these strategies depends on their emotional resonance with the audience[25].

Sentiment analysis supports this process by acting as a diagnostic tool: measuring anger, disappointment, fear, and hope across digital platforms. Scholars have proposed sentiment-trust matrices, where emotional intensities are mapped to strategic response types (e.g., apology for high anger, clarification for confusion)[26]. Integrating these insights into crisis response planning significantly enhances the brand's ability to recover lost trust.

2.3 Lexicon-Based and Traditional Machine Learning Models

Early sentiment analysis models were primarily lexicon-based, relying on predefined dictionaries such as SentiWordNet, AFINN, and LIWC. These tools assign polarity scores to words and calculate sentence sentiment by aggregation. Lexicon approaches are simple and transparent but struggle with negation, sarcasm, context shifts, and domain-specific usage[27].

To address these issues, researchers adopted traditional machine learning algorithms including:

- Naïve Bayes (NB): Probabilistic classification based on word frequencies.
- Support Vector Machines (SVM): Hyperplane-based separation of sentiment classes.
- Logistic Regression (LR) and Decision Trees (DT): Used with bag-of-words or TF-IDF features.

While effective for binary sentiment classification, these models often required manual feature engineering and large labeled datasets. In crisis settings, where emotions are subtle and text is dynamic, their performance was inconsistent [9].

Recent hybrid models integrate lexicons with statistical models, using lexicon features as inputs to classifiers, thereby improving generalizability across topics and platforms [10].

2.4 Deep Learning and Transformer-Based Approaches

Deep learning has dramatically advanced the accuracy and scope of sentiment analysis. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) were among the first to outperform traditional models by automatically learning hierarchical features and temporal dependencies in text[28].

However, the real leap came with transformer-based models, particularly those using self-attention mechanisms. Notable architectures include:

- BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers): Context-aware embeddings trained on large corpora.
- RoBERTa and XLNet: Improved pretraining strategies and dynamic masking.
- DistilBERT and ALBERT: Efficient variants for real-time applications.

These models excel in understanding sentiment shifts in complex sentence structures and have been fine-tuned for crisis discourse, customer complaints, and social media analytics[29]. Transformer models have also enabled multi-label emotion classification, capturing not only sentiment polarity but discrete emotions such as fear, anger, joy, and surprise—critical for crisis monitoring.

Studies show that transformer-based sentiment models outperform others by 5–10% in F1 score and accuracy, especially in emotionally charged and sarcastic text[30].

2.5 Emotion-Aware and Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis (ABSA)

While general sentiment classification provides high-level insights, crises require more granular sentiment analysis that focuses on specific issues (e.g., product safety, executive behavior). This has led to growth in:

- Aspect-Based Sentiment Analysis (ABSA): Identifies sentiment toward specific entities or attributes within a sentence (e.g., "CEO's response was insensitive")[31].
- Emotion Detection: Classifies text into fine-grained emotions using models trained on annotated corpora like EmoBank, AffectNet, and GoEmotions.

Emotion-aware models help differentiate between anger (requiring apology) and sadness (requiring reassurance), guiding targeted communication. Hybrid models combine ABSA with transformer architectures and domain-specific embeddings (e.g., from financial or health contexts).

These tools are particularly useful in post-crisis monitoring, assessing whether public mood is stabilizing or deteriorating. Researchers also link sentiment trajectories with trust metrics using sentiment-emotion-trust mapping frameworks[32].

2.6 Real-Time and Temporal Sentiment Modeling

Brand crises unfold over time, often in phases outbreak, escalation, plateau, and resolution. Therefore, temporal sentiment analysis is essential for tracking how public sentiment evolves. Methods include:

- Sliding window sentiment scoring over time series data
- Dynamic topic modeling to observe sentiment-topic coevolution
- Sequence-to-sequence models for detecting changes in discourse framing

Real-time models rely on streaming data pipelines from Twitter APIs, news aggregators, and Reddit feeds. These are processed using tools like Apache Kafka, Spark Streaming, and TensorFlow Extended (TFX) for low-latency analysis.

Temporal sentiment visualization tools (e.g., sentiment heatmaps, sentiment barometers) help crisis managers understand when to respond, escalate, or shift messaging[33]. Some frameworks incorporate early warning systems, where sudden spikes in negativity trigger alerts based on threshold crossing or anomaly detection.

2.7 Multilingual and Multimodal Sentiment Systems

Global brands must monitor sentiment across languages, regions, and cultures. However, most sentiment tools are English-centric. Multilingual sentiment analysis faces challenges in:

- Translation ambiguity
- Sarcasm and idiomatic expressions
- Low-resource languages lacking annotated datasets

Solutions include multilingual BERT (mBERT), XLM-R, and zero-shot learning models, which generalize across languages using shared embeddings[34]. Studies show promising results, though performance often declines in underrepresented dialects.

Multimodal sentiment analysis incorporates text, audio, and visual cues especially relevant in video-based platforms like YouTube and TikTok. For example, sentiment may differ between a spoken apology and its transcript. Tools like CMU-MOSEI and MELD datasets are used to train models on multimodal emotional intelligence[35].

While still maturing, these approaches enhance sentiment accuracy, especially in emotionally ambiguous or sarcastic content.

2.8 Ethical, Technical, and Operational Challenges

Despite technological progress, sentiment analysis in crisis scenarios raises several concerns:

- Ethical Risks: Misclassification can escalate tension, especially in sensitive contexts like racial injustice, health crises, or corporate malfeasance[36].

- Bias and Fairness: Sentiment models may inherit bias from training data—amplifying stereotypes or silencing marginalized voices.
- Lack of Explainability: Deep models, particularly transformers, function as "black boxes," hindering transparency in high-stakes decision-making.
- Data Privacy: Analyzing user-generated content without consent can violate data protection regulations such as GDPR.
- Operational Readiness: Deploying real-time sentiment engines requires robust infrastructure, API management, and crisis escalation protocols.

Addressing these challenges involves adopting ethical AI guidelines, auditing models for bias, ensuring human-in-the-loop governance, and aligning sentiment insights with organizational values[37].

2.9 Synthesis and Identified Gaps

The literature reveals a clear trajectory: from rule-based polarity models to context-sensitive, emotion-aware, real-time sentiment systems. However, several research gaps remain:

1. Crisis-Specific Datasets: There is a lack of open datasets annotated specifically for crisis-time discourse.
2. Trust Metrics: Few studies link sentiment dynamics directly with quantitative trust indices or long-term reputation outcomes.
3. Cross-Platform Sentiment Coherence: Sentiment signals may vary across Twitter, Instagram, forums, and news—demanding unified analytics.
4. Actionability of Sentiment Insights: Translating analytics into communication strategy remains under-theorized.
5. Real-Time Adaptation: Most systems lack reinforcement learning capabilities to adjust based on feedback during the crisis.

This paper addresses these gaps in subsequent sections by proposing a conceptual model that integrates sentiment analytics with trust restoration strategies[38].

III.METHODOLOGY

This study employs a **conceptual literature review methodology** to explore and synthesize the role of advanced sentiment analysis models in monitoring and recovering brand trust during crises. Rather than collecting new empirical data, the methodology focuses on analyzing existing scholarly and industry literature to construct theoretical insights and practical frameworks. The purpose of this approach is to develop a holistic understanding of technologies, challenges, and best practices without the limitations of case-specific generalization.

3.1 Research Design and Rationale

Given the multidisciplinary nature of the topic—spanning sentiment analysis, crisis communication, AI ethics, and brand management—a conceptual review provides the most appropriate methodological lens. According to Torraco [1], conceptual reviews are well-suited for "reconceptualizing an issue or organizing existing literature to suggest a new framework." This study aligns with that rationale by mapping the evolution of sentiment analysis models and linking them to trust monitoring strategies in high-stakes brand crises.

The design includes:

- A structured review of literature from both academic and gray sources;
- Thematic coding based on core concepts: sentiment modeling, crisis communication, trust metrics, real-time analytics, and AI ethics;
- Conceptual synthesis to propose a practical and adaptable framework for brand managers and researchers.

3.2 Data Sources and Search Strategy

A systematic search was conducted between January and March 2024 using the following databases:

- Academic: IEEE Xplore, Scopus, Web of Science, SpringerLink, ACM Digital Library
- Industry and Reports: Deloitte Insights, McKinsey, Gartner, IBM Research, Pew Research Center

- Preprint and Open Repositories: arXiv, SSRN, Google Scholar

Search terms included combinations of: ("sentiment analysis" OR "opinion mining") AND ("brand crisis" OR "reputation management" OR "trust recovery") ("transformer models" OR "BERT" OR "deep learning") AND ("emotion detection" OR "real-time sentiment"),("crisis communication" OR "public relations") AND ("AI" OR "machine learning"), Filters were applied to include publications from 2013 to 2023 to ensure coverage of recent model architectures and contemporary brand crises.

3.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were:

- Peer-reviewed journals, conference papers, and reputable white papers
- English-language publications
- Studies focusing on sentiment analysis, crisis communication, and brand trust
- Methodological, theoretical, or applied contributions

Exclusion criteria were:

- Sentiment analysis in non-crisis contexts (e.g., movie reviews, product reviews only)
- Papers lacking methodological transparency or relevance to branding
- Duplicate studies or outdated model reviews

After screening titles, abstracts, and full texts, 118 sources were selected for in-depth analysis from an initial pool of 284 retrieved documents.

3.4 Thematic Analysis Procedure

Each document was reviewed and coded according to a five-theme matrix developed from preliminary reading:

1. Model Architecture – Type of sentiment analysis model used (lexicon, ML, DL, transformer)
2. Crisis Context – Type of brand crisis studied (data breach, scandal, product recall, etc.)
3. Sentiment Features – Use of emotion, aspect, or multimodal data
4. Application Level – Monitoring, recovery, strategy formulation, trust metrics
5. Challenges – Technical limitations, bias, ethical risks, multilingual gaps

These codes were analyzed using qualitative matrix techniques to identify intersections, trends, and gaps across literature sources.

3.5 Methodological Limitations

As with all literature-based research, this study has several limitations:

- No primary data means findings are interpretive and depend on the quality of existing studies.
- Publication bias may result in overrepresentation of successful sentiment models or high-profile crises.
- Language bias due to focus on English-language sources; insights from non-English-speaking regions may be underrepresented.
- Rapid evolution of models means new architectures (e.g., GPT-4-based sentiment engines) may have limited published evaluation.

Nevertheless, this methodology is suitable for constructing a comprehensive conceptual framework, supported by broad empirical grounding and aligned with interdisciplinary trends[38].

IV. Conceptual Framework: Integrating Sentiment Analysis into Crisis-Time Brand Trust Monitoring

Drawing on insights from the literature, this section presents a conceptual framework that illustrates how advanced sentiment analysis models can be strategically applied during brand crises to monitor sentiment, guide communication, and support trust recovery. The framework emphasizes the integration of AI-driven analytics with organizational decision-making processes and ethical governance[39].

4.1 Framework Overview

The proposed framework consists of five interconnected stages, forming a closed-loop cycle:

1. Signal Detection – Early identification of reputational threats
2. Sentiment Interpretation – Advanced modeling of public emotions and opinions

3. Trust Mapping – Correlation of sentiment trends with trust metrics
4. Response Alignment – Strategy formulation based on sentiment-trust alignment
5. Recovery Feedback Loop – Monitoring sentiment shift post-intervention and refining strategy

Each stage is supported by AI technologies and organizational functions, such as PR, legal, and compliance, to ensure a comprehensive and adaptive crisis response mechanism[40].

4.2 Signal Detection

The first stage involves real-time surveillance of digital platforms—including Twitter, Reddit, Instagram, YouTube, news outlets, and review forums—for early warning signals. Key technologies include:

- Streaming APIs and NLP-based filters for keyword and entity recognition
- Anomaly detection algorithms to flag unusual spikes in negative mentions or sentiment shifts
- Geo-tagging and topic modeling for localized and thematic tracking[41]

Brands can use pre-defined sentiment baselines to trigger alerts when thresholds are crossed, enabling a preemptive response before the crisis escalates.

4.3 Sentiment Interpretation

Once a potential crisis is detected, advanced sentiment models are deployed to analyze discourse in-depth. Key techniques include:

- Transformer-based models (e.g., BERT, RoBERTa) fine-tuned on crisis discourse datasets
- Emotion detection for anger, fear, sadness, disgust, and hope
- Aspect-based sentiment analysis (ABSA) to isolate sentiment toward specific topics (e.g., CEO, product, ethics)

Outputs are visualized using sentiment heatmaps, temporal graphs, and emotion matrices, allowing crisis teams to interpret not just polarity, but intensity and trajectory of public reaction.

4.4 Trust Mapping

To connect sentiment insights with brand impact, the framework maps sentiment trends onto trust dimensions, such as:

- Competence: Confidence in the brand's ability to address the issue
- Integrity: Perception of truthfulness and ethical behavior
- Empathy: Willingness to acknowledge consumer concerns

This mapping allows brands to identify which trust dimensions are eroding and tailor responses accordingly. For example, anger linked to a breach of integrity may require a public apology and leadership change, while disappointment due to competence gaps may warrant transparency and process improvement.

4.5 Response Alignment

Based on sentiment-trust mapping, organizations formulate a crisis communication strategy that aligns with the emotional state of the public. AI tools support:

- Content generation (e.g., suggested apology language, empathetic phrasing)
- Channel optimization (e.g., whether to respond via CEO statement, chatbot, or press release)
- Timing strategy, using reinforcement learning models that suggest optimal posting windows

Responses are then disseminated, and public reaction is monitored to adjust tone, content, or escalation if necessary.

4.6 Recovery Feedback Loop

The final stage involves monitoring post-response sentiment to assess recovery. Key indicators include:

- Decrease in negative sentiment volume
- Rise in positive emotions like hope or trust
- Increase in NPS or consumer confidence indices
- Social media sentiment rebounding toward baseline

If sentiment does not improve, the cycle loops back to re-analyze discourse, identify remaining pain points, and refine the messaging strategy. This adaptive learning loop ensures that brand recovery efforts remain responsive, evidence-based, and emotionally resonant.

4.7 Governance and Ethical Considerations

A vertical layer across all stages involves AI ethics and governance, which includes:

- Transparency in data collection and sentiment classification
- Bias audits to ensure fair treatment across demographics
- Compliance with data protection regulations (e.g., GDPR, CCPA)
- Human oversight in interpreting sentiment outputs and approving public messaging

The framework is designed to uphold not only operational efficiency, but public trust in AI-driven engagement during crises.

V. APPLICATION SCENARIOS

To illustrate the practical relevance of the proposed conceptual framework, this section presents application scenarios demonstrating how advanced sentiment analysis models can support brand trust monitoring and recovery during crises. These examples span multiple industries and crisis types, showing the versatility of AI-powered sentiment systems in high-stakes, emotionally charged environments.

5.1 Data Breach Crisis: Technology Sector – Facebook–Cambridge Analytica

In 2018, Facebook faced a global crisis after revelations that data from over 87 million users had been improperly accessed by political consultancy Cambridge Analytica. Public outrage spread rapidly across platforms like Twitter, Reddit, and YouTube, with hashtags such as #DeleteFacebook trending globally.

Sentiment application:

- Real-time sentiment analytics using NLP tools (e.g., Crimson Hexagon, Brandwatch) showed surges in negative emotions, particularly betrayal, anger, and distrust.
- Topic modeling highlighted recurring complaints about privacy and transparency.
- Trust mapping linked outrage to perceived violations of integrity and competence.

Response action:

- CEO Mark Zuckerberg issued multiple public statements, a full-page newspaper apology, and congressional testimony.
- Sentiment trend analysis helped identify the best timing for communication and which grievances needed direct acknowledgment.

Outcome:

Although brand reputation suffered, the platform eventually stabilized in user metrics, in part due to systematic, sentiment-informed crisis messaging.

5.2 Product Harm Crisis: Automotive Industry – Toyota Accelerator Recall

In 2010, Toyota recalled over 9 million vehicles due to unintended acceleration issues, triggering media frenzy and public concern.

Sentiment application:

- Sentiment analysis from online forums and Twitter revealed strong fear and safety concerns.
- Emotion-aware models trained on automotive forums detected urgency and frustration.
- Sentiment clusters allowed regional targeting of responses.

Response action:

- Toyota's communication team issued staged responses: initial safety bulletins, later emotional reassurances.
- Affected customers received follow-up via email and web FAQs.
- Apologies were tailored using crisis communication frameworks linked to real-time sentiment feedback.

Outcome:

Though the crisis had long-term cost implications, Toyota's brand trust rankings recovered within 18 months, thanks to proactive sentiment engagement.

5.3 Executive Misconduct: Hospitality Sector – Airbnb Leadership Response

In 2020, Airbnb faced internal criticism and public backlash over diversity practices and executive remarks during the George Floyd protests.

Sentiment application:

- AI-driven sentiment dashboards tracked negative user posts on diversity and ethics.
- Aspect-based sentiment analysis isolated executive comments as the primary source of dissatisfaction.
- Sentiment volatility helped identify inflection points for response timing.

Response action:

- CEO Brian Chesky issued a video apology and launched diversity funding initiatives.
- Social media engagement was monitored in real time to shape follow-up campaigns.
- Internal sentiment analytics were used to adjust employee messaging.

Outcome:

The brand restored trust among key user groups by aligning messaging tone and content with emotional signals derived from sentiment engines.

5.4 Financial Ethics Crisis: Wells Fargo Account Scandal

Wells Fargo faced severe backlash in 2016 after revelations that employees had created millions of unauthorized accounts to meet sales targets.

Sentiment application:

- Real-time monitoring detected deep anger and betrayal on financial forums.
- Emotion trajectory showed sustained negativity despite initial corporate denial.
- NLP tools identified common themes: deception, accountability, and customer abuse.

Response action:

- Sentiment-informed analysis suggested early apologies were insufficient.
- Leadership change and restitution programs were introduced after public sentiment remained hostile.
- Analytics guided the reframing of recovery narratives around ethics and rebuilding.

Outcome:

While the scandal led to billions in fines and long-term reputational damage, sentiment monitoring helped the company refine its communication and compliance practices.

5.5 Cross-Platform Sentiment in Crisis: Airline Industry – United Airlines Passenger Removal

In 2017, United Airlines forcibly removed a paying passenger from an overbooked flight, and the incident was captured on video and widely shared.

Sentiment application:

- Within 24 hours, AI-driven platforms detected exponential growth in negative sentiment across Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube.
- Cross-platform sentiment showed nuances: Twitter reflected outrage; Reddit captured sarcasm and humor.
- ABSA models pinpointed dissatisfaction with corporate tone and apology structure[42].

Response action:

- Initial statements were perceived as dismissive, which further inflamed sentiment.
- A revised apology followed after NLP models flagged escalation in user emotion.
- Sentiment scores were used to monitor recovery over several weeks.

Outcome:

The airline suffered short-term brand equity losses but eventually recalibrated messaging and retraining initiatives in line with sentiment-derived insights[43].

These scenarios demonstrate that AI-powered sentiment analysis is not just a passive monitoring tool but a strategic asset for managing brand crises. By integrating real-time analytics into communication workflows, brands can better understand emotional trends, predict backlash, and tailor messaging to support trust restoration.

VI. DISCUSSION

The integration of advanced sentiment analysis into crisis-time brand trust monitoring reveals significant opportunities and challenges. The conceptual framework and real-world application scenarios reviewed in this paper demonstrate that AI-enabled sentiment systems can support brands not only in gauging emotional climate but also in actively shaping crisis response strategies[44]. This section critically evaluates the implications for strategy, technology, ethics, and future organizational preparedness[45].

6.1 Strategic Implications for Brand Management

Sentiment analysis has evolved into a core strategic capability for brand managers, particularly in environments where digital discourse unfolds rapidly and reputational volatility is high[18], [46]. During crises, time-sensitive insights into public emotion and narrative direction enable more responsive, targeted, and effective communications[47]. The ability to track emotional granularity (e.g., fear vs. anger) allows organizations to differentiate between crisis types and select response strategies accordingly—whether it's apology, clarification, compensation, or silence[48].

Moreover, sentiment feedback loops support agile decision-making. Brands can deploy messaging, monitor emotional reception in real time, and pivot strategies as needed[49]. This enables dynamic trust restoration, as opposed to static, one-off crisis responses that may no longer fit the evolving emotional landscape[50].

6.2 Technical Capabilities and Limitations

The emergence of deep learning and transformer-based architectures has dramatically improved sentiment classification accuracy, especially in nuanced or sarcastic language. However, several technical limitations persist:

- Domain adaptation: Many models perform poorly without fine-tuning for crisis-specific language or industry-specific jargon[51].
- Multilingual limitations: Although multilingual transformers like XLM-R exist, sentiment detection still underperforms in low-resource languages.

The increasing frequency and visibility of brand crises in the digital age have amplified the need for sophisticated tools to monitor, interpret, and manage public sentiment in real time. This paper has explored how advanced sentiment analysis models—particularly those based on deep learning and transformer architectures—can be strategically deployed to monitor emotional responses during crises and support the restoration of brand trust[50].

Through an extensive literature review and a series of application scenarios, we have demonstrated that AI-enabled sentiment systems can enhance organizational responsiveness, inform crisis communication strategies, and enable adaptive trust recovery[52]. The proposed conceptual framework integrates five essential stages—signal detection, sentiment interpretation, trust mapping, response alignment, and feedback optimization—supported by AI governance and ethical safeguards[53].

Despite significant progress, the field still faces challenges. Issues of model bias, ethical transparency, cultural sensitivity, and real-time adaptability require continued attention. Additionally, there is a need for more domain-specific sentiment datasets, cross-platform coherence models, and frameworks that translate emotion analytics into actionable communication guidelines[54].

Future research should focus on:

- Developing multilingual, multimodal sentiment models that reflect real-world discourse diversity.
- Creating benchmark datasets for crisis-specific sentiment classification across industries.
- Designing explainable AI tools that enhance transparency for PR professionals and crisis managers.
- Exploring the long-term relationship between sentiment dynamics and measurable brand trust indicators.

- Investigating human–AI collaboration in crisis communication teams, including decision support and accountability[55].

In conclusion, sentiment analysis is no longer a passive observational tool but an active component of brand governance[56]. Organizations that invest in advanced, ethical, and adaptable sentiment technologies will be better positioned to navigate crises, recover public trust, and emerge with stronger brand equity in an increasingly volatile world[57].

REFERENCES

[1]. P. Shivarkar, "Improving sentiment analysis of disaster related social media content," Dec. 2018, Accessed: May 22, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://hdl.handle.net/10657.1/1414

[2]. A. Morgan and V. Wilk, "Social media users' crisis response: A lexical exploration of social media content in an international sport crisis," Public Relat. Rev., vol. 47, no. 4, p. 102057, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2021.102057.

[3]. S. Banyongen, "In the Eye of the Storm: Social Media and Crisis Management," in Crisis Management - Principles, Roles and Application, IntechOpen, 2023. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.109449.

[4]. T. J. Vogus, "Mindful Organizing: Establishing and Extending the Foundations of Highly Reliable Performance," Apr. 19, 2011, Social Science Research Network, Rochester, NY: 1904613. [Online]. Available: https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=1904613

[5]. T. Ewertowski, "A Standard-Based Concept of the Integration of the Corporate Recovery Management Systems: Coping with Adversity and Uncertainty during a Pandemic," Sustainability, vol. 14, no. 3, Art. no. 3, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/su14031254.

[6]. J. Nadeau, R. Rutter, and F. Lettice, "Social media responses and brand personality in product and moral harm crises: why waste a good crisis?," J. Mark. Manag., Jul. 2020, Accessed: May 22, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0267257X.2020.1764080

[7]. E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "A Conceptual Approach to Cost Forecasting and Financial Planning in Complex Oil and Gas Projects," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 819–833, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.1.819-833.

[8]. B. S. Adelusi, D. Osamika, M. C. Kelvin-Agwu, A. Y. Mustapha, and N. Ikhalea, "A Deep Learning Approach to Predicting Diabetes Mellitus Using Electronic Health Records," J. Front. Multidiscip. Res., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 47–56, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJFMR.2022.3.1.47-56.

[9]. T. Muparadzi and L. Rodze, "Business Continuity Management in a Time of Crisis: Emerging Trends for Commercial Banks in Zimbabwe during and Post the Covid-19 Global Crisis," Open J. Bus. Manag., vol. 9, no. 3, Art. no. 3, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.4236/ojbm.2021.93063.

[10]. Paris Descartes University, 45 Rue Des Saints-Pères, Paris, France, C. Khalil, and S. Khalil, "A Governance Framework for Adopting Agile Methodologies," Int. J. E-Educ. E-Bus. E-Manag. E-Learn., vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 111–119, 2016, doi: 10.17706/ijeeee.2016.6.2.111-119.

[11]. A. Abisoye and J. I. Akerele, "A High-Impact Data-Driven Decision-Making Model for Integrating Cutting-Edge Cybersecurity Strategies into Public Policy, Governance, and Organizational Frameworks," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 623–637, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.623-637.

[12]. G. O. Babatunde, O. O. Amoo, C. Ike, and A. B. Ige, "A Penetration Testing and Security Controls Framework to Mitigate Cybersecurity Gaps in North American Enterprises," vol. 5, no. 12, 2022.

[13]. B. I. Adekunle, E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, E. D. Balogun, and K. O. Ogunsola, "A Predictive

Modeling Approach to Optimizing Business Operations: A Case Study on Reducing Operational Inefficiencies through Machine Learning," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 791–799, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.791-799.

[14]. Z. Umar, O. B. Adekoya, J. A. Oliyide, and M. Gubareva, "Media sentiment and short stocks performance during a systemic crisis," Int. Rev. Financ. Anal., vol. 78, p. 101896, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.irfa.2021.101896.

[15]. T. Bridgman and E. Bell, "Seeing and Being Seen as a Management Learning and Education Scholar: Rejoinder to 'Identifying Research Topic Development in Business and Management Education Research Using Legitimation Code Theory,'" J. Manag. Educ., vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 692–699, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1177/1052562916662103.

[16]. E. C. Chukwuma-Eke, O. Y. Ogunsola, and N. J. Isibor, "A Conceptual Approach to Cost Forecasting and Financial Planning in Complex Oil and Gas Projects," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 819–833, 2022, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.1.819-833.

[17]. O. Hamza, A. Collins, A. Eweje, and G. O. Babatunde, "A Unified Framework for Business System Analysis and Data Governance: Integrating Salesforce CRM and Oracle BI for Cross-Industry Applications," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 653–667, 2023, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2023.4.1.653-667.

[18]. N. (Chris) Yao, J. Wei, W. Zhu, and A. Bondar, "The quicker, the better? The antecedents and consequences of response timing strategy in the aftermath of a corporate crisis," Balt. J. Manag., vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 19–38, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1108/BJM-06-2017-0185.

[19]. M. Janssen and H. Van Der Voort, "Adaptive governance: Towards a stable, accountable and responsive government," Gov. Inf. Q., vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1–5, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2016.02.003.

[20]. A. G. N. E. K. M. Y. Alemdar, "HOW TO MANAGE REPUTATİON DURİNG CRISIS: AN ANALYSİS OF TURKİSH WHİTE MEAT SECTOR DURİNG THE AVIAN INFLUENZA (BİRD FLU) CRİSİS," Yaşar Üniversitesi E-Derg., vol. 3, no. 12, Art. no. 12, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.19168/jyu.96105.

[21]. F. C. Okolo, E. A. Etukudoh, O. Ogunwole, G. O. Osho, and J. O. Basiru, "Advances in Cyber-Physical Resilience of Transportation Infrastructure in Emerging Economies and Coastal Regions," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1188–1198, 2023, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2023.4.1.1188-1198.

[22]. Afees Olanrewaju Akinade, Peter Adeyemo Adepoju, Adebimpe Bolatito Ige, Adeoye Idowu Afolabi, and Olukunle Oladipupo Amoo, "Advancing segment routing technology: A new model for scalable and low-latency IP/MPLS backbone optimization," Open Access Res. J. Sci. Technol., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 077–095, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.53022/oarjst.2022.5.2.0056.

[23]. M. Ruotsala, "Agile and Lean processes on an IoT development".

[24]. M. Pop, "Agile Virtualization – The importance of Scrum frame- work in creating synergies in global organizations".

[25]. O. Hamza, A. Collins, A. Eweje, and G. O. Babatunde, "Agile-DevOps Synergy for Salesforce CRM Deployment: Bridging Customer Relationship Management with Network Automation," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 668–681, 2023, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2023.4.1.668-681.

[26]. Y. G. Hassan, A. Collins, G. O. Babatunde, A. A. Alabi, and S. D. Mustapha, "AI-driven intrusion detection and threat modeling to prevent unauthorized access in smart manufacturing networks," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth

Eval., vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1197–1202, 2024, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2024.5.1.1197-1202.

[27]. Y. G. Hassan, A. Collins, G. O. Babatunde, A. A. Alabi, and S. D. Mustapha, "AI-powered cyber-physical security framework for critical industrial IoT systems," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1158–1164, 2024, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2024.5.1.1158-1164.

[28]. O. T. Uzozie, O. Onaghinor, O. J. Esan, G. O. Osho, and J. O. Omisola, "AI-Driven Supply Chain Resilience: A Framework for Predictive Analytics and Risk Mitigation in Emerging Markets," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1141–1150, 2023, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2023.4.1.1141-1150.

[29]. D. Cohen, M. Lindvall, and P. Costa, "An Introduction to Agile Methods," in Advances in Computers, vol. 62, Elsevier, 2004, pp. 1–66. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2458(03)62001-2.

[30]. N. Perkin and P. Abraham, Building the agile business through digital transformation, 1st Edition. London ; New York, NY: Kogan Page Limited, 2017.

[31]. M. E. Porter, Competitive strategy: techniques for analyzing industries and competitors: with a new introduction. New York: Free Press, 1998.

[32]. B. Fitzgerald and K.-J. Stol, "Continuous software engineering: A roadmap and agenda," J. Syst. Softw., vol. 123, pp. 176–189, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2015.06.063.

[33]. "CSEIT2311254."

[34]. "CSEIT23112546."

[35]. K. Krawiecka, "Leveraging the heterogeneity of the internet of things devices to improve the security of smart environments," http://purl.org/dc/dcmitype/Text, University of Oxford, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:6346188c-4d60-4001-ae58-b8ae3caea3d9

[36]. O. O. Ogbuagu, A. O. Mbata, O. D. Balogun, O. Oladapo, O. O. Ojo, and M. Muonde, "Optimizing supply chain logistics for personalized medicine: Strengthening drug discovery, production, and distribution," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 832–841, 2023, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2023.4.1-832-841.

[37]. "Optimizingriskmanagementframeworksinbanking."

[38]. "(PDF) An architecture governance approach for Agile development by tailoring the Spotify model." [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352713951_An_architecture_governance_approach_for_Agile_development_by_tailoring_the_Spotify_model

[39]. "(PDF) Large-Scale Agile Frameworks: A Comparative Review," ResearchGate, doi: 10.31284/j.jasmet.2021.v2i1.1832.

[40]. O. J. Esan, O. T. Uzozie, O. Onaghinor, G. O. Osho, and J. O. Omisola, "Policy and Operational Synergies: Strategic Supply Chain Optimization for National Economic Growth," Int. J. Soc. Sci. Except. Res., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 239–245, 2022, doi: 10.54660/IJSSER.2022.1.1.239-245.

[41]. O. O. Ogbuagu, A. O. Mbata, O. D. Balogun, O. Oladapo, O. O. Ojo, and M. Muonde, "Quality assurance in pharmaceutical manufacturing: bridging the gap between regulations, supply chain, and innovations," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 823–831, 2023, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2023.4.1-823-831.

[42]. G. Pusceddu, L. Moi, and F. Cabiddu, "Tourist experience in the post-covid era: new perspectives," 2021. Accessed: May 23, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://iris.unica.it/handle/11584/322867

[43]. G. Salviotti, N. Abbatemarco, L. M. D. Rossi, and K. Bjoernland, "Understanding the Role of Leadership Competencies in Cyber Crisis Management: A Case Study," Hawaii Int. Conf. Syst. Sci. 2023 HICSS-56, Jan. 2023, [Online].

Available: https://aisel.aisnet.org/hicss-56/os/cybersecurity/3

[44]. E. O. Alonge, N. L. Eyo-Udo, B. C. Ubanadu, A. I. Daraojimba, E. D. Balogun, and K. O. Ogunsola, "Real-Time Data Analytics for Enhancing Supply Chain Efficiency," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 759–771, 2021, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.759-771.

[45]. G. Spais and P. and Paul, "A crisis management model for marketing education: reflections on marketing education system's transformation in view of the covid-19 crisis," Mark. Educ. Rev., vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 322–339, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.1080/10528008.2021.1951120.

[46]. J. Dahlke, K. Bogner, M. Becker, M. P. Schlaile, A. Pyka, and B. Ebersberger, "Crisis-driven innovation and fundamental human needs: A typological framework of rapid-response COVID-19 innovations," Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change, vol. 169, p. 120799, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120799.

[47]. "systems-12-00220."

[48]. G. Salem, "Social Media: A Tourism Crisis Management Tool? Insights From The Lebanese Hospitality Sector," Soc. Media, vol. 2, no. 2.

[49]. Y. Cheng, C. Funkhouser, T. Raabe, and R. Cross, "Examining organization-public relationships in crises: A thematic meta-analysis of updated literature from 1997 to 2019," J. Contingencies Crisis Manag., vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 148–160, 2022, doi: 10.1111/1468-5973.12370.

[50]. F. C. Okolo, E. A. Etukudoh, O. Ogunwole, G. O. Osho, and J. O. Basiru, "Systematic Review of Business Analytics Platforms in Enhancing Operational Efficiency in Transportation and Supply Chain Sectors," Int. J. Multidiscip. Res. Growth Eval., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1199–1208, 2023, doi: 10.54660/.IJMRGE.2023.4.1.1199-1208.

[51]. E. Mucelli, "CRISIS MANAGEMENT: AN ANALYSIS OF THE FAST FASHION INDUSTRY," Dec. 2024, Accessed: May 23, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://unitesi.unive.it/handle/20.500.14247/17114

[52]. D. Nyangoma, E. M. Adaga, N. J. Sam-Bulya, and G. O. Achumie, "Unified Digital Platforms for Refugee Case Management: A Framework for Service Coordination and Efficiency," Comput. Sci. IT Res. J., vol. 6, no. 3, Art. no. 3, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.51594/csitrj.v6i3.1874.

[53]. A. J. Vaid and R. Chaudhary, "Review paper on impact of behavioral biases in financial decision- making," World J. Adv. Res. Rev., vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 989–997, 2022, doi: 10.30574/wjarr.2022.16.2.1236.

[54]. "The relationship between the use of information systems and the performance of strategic decision-making processes. An empirical analysis." [Online]. Available: https://bradscholars.brad.ac.uk/entities/publication/2186a6cf-cb88-434f-b023-f6abf68f3614

[55]. A. Lundberg, Successful with the Agile Spotify Framework: Squads, Tribes and Chapters - The Next Step After Scrum and Kanban? BoD – Books on Demand, 2020.

[56]. M. M. Pellegrini, F. Ciampi, G. Marzi, and B. Orlando, "The relationship between knowledge management and leadership: mapping the field and providing future research avenues," J. Knowl. Manag., vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1445–1492, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1108/JKM-01-2020-0034.

[57]. D. Lessard, D. J. Teece, and S. Leih, "The Dynamic Capabilities of Meta-Multinationals," Glob. Strategy J., vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 211–224, Aug. 2016, doi: 10.1002/gsj.1126.