International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology
Available online at : www.ijsrst.com
OPEN ACCESS
Print ISSN: 2395-6011 | Online ISSN: 2395-602X
doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST25123142

Inventory Control Systems for Deteriorating Items Considering Carbon Emissions: A Theoretical Overview

Raj Kumar*[1,2,3], Lakhan Singh[1]
[1]Department of Mathematics, D. J. Collage Baraut, Uttar Pradesh, India
[2]Department of Mathematics, Chaudhary Charan Singh University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India
[3]Department of Mathematics, Shaheed Udham Singh Govt. College, Matak Majri, Karnal, Department of Higher Education Panchkula, Haryana, India

ARTICLEINFO

Article History:

Accepted : 10 June 2025
Published: 19 June 2025

Publication Issue :
Volume 12, Issue 3
May-June-2025

Page Number :
1288-1298

ABSTRACT

The need for sustainable inventory management has become critical as businesses aim to minimize both costs and environmental impact. Managing deteriorating items—perishable goods, chemicals, or products with limited shelf lives—introduces unique challenges, as stock levels must be carefully balanced to avoid waste. Traditionally, inventory models focused on cost reduction without considering carbon emissions generated during production, storage, and disposal. However, as regulatory and societal pressures grow, companies are increasingly integrating carbon-sensitive strategies into inventory management. This paper examines theoretical models of inventory control for deteriorating items, focusing on how carbon emissions are incorporated to achieve a balance between operational efficiency and environmental responsibility.

Keywords: Inventory control, Deteriorating items, Carbon emissions, Sustainability, Environmental impact, Emission reduction, Cost efficiency, Supply chain management

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the scope of inventory management has expanded beyond the traditional goal of minimizing costs to include sustainability and environmental responsibility, particularly for deteriorating items. Deteriorating inventory items, such as food products, pharmaceuticals, and chemical goods, present distinct management challenges due to their limited shelf life and susceptibility to degradation. When held too long, these items face risks of spoilage, loss of quality, and eventual waste, which not only increase disposal costs but also raise environmental concerns due to the emissions involved in disposal processes. Conventional inventory models typically prioritize economic efficiency by optimizing order quantities, reorder points, and holding costs to reduce financial losses. However, with the growing global focus on environmental sustainability, businesses are being compelled to adopt strategies that account for the carbon emissions associated with inventory practices, aiming to strike a balance between economic efficiency and environmental impact.

As societal awareness of climate change intensifies, so do the regulatory measures surrounding carbon emissions, pushing companies to reassess their inventory practices. Emissions can be generated at multiple stages of inventory management, from production and transportation to storage and waste disposal. Each of these stages contributes to the carbon footprint of a business, requiring companies to consider emissions in their overall inventory strategy to comply with environmental standards and meet corporate sustainability goals. For example, the storage of perishable goods often demands refrigeration or climate control, both of which consume significant energy, thus increasing carbon emissions. Similarly, frequent transportation of these goods, especially when demand patterns are unpredictable, can lead to higher emissions from fuel usage. As such, inventory models that account for emissions not only help to reduce a company's environmental impact but also improve its ability to adapt to stricter environmental regulations and avoid potential penaltiesd emission-sensitive inventory control is particularly pertinent for deteriorating items, as companies that manage perishable or high-risk goods are at a greater disadvantage if they fail to balance inventory levels effectively. Excess inventory can lead to higher rates of spoilage and waste, thus increasing both disposal costs and emissions. Conversely, insufficient inventory risks stockouts, which impact customer satisfaction and, in some cases, require additional emergency shipments that further increase emissions.

To address these dual challenges of deterioration and emissions, researchers and practitioners have begun to explore theoretical models that integrate carbon costs into the standard inventory management framework. By incorporating emissions as a cost factor or constraint within inventory models, these emission-sensitive approaches enable companies to make informed decisions that account for both cost minimization and environmental impact.

Such models a-objective optimization, which balances traditional economic objectives—such as minimizing holding and ordering costs—with emission reduction goals. For instance, emission-sensitive models may include penalties for carbon emissions that exceed a specified threshold, providing a financial incentive to optimize inventory levels and reduce emissions throughout the supply chain. These models also help companies achieve compliance with regulatory standards, such as cap-and-trade programs or carbon taxes, which have become increasingly common across industries. Furthermore, adopting carbon-conategies can enhance a company's reputation, as consumers and investors alike are drawn to brands that demonstrate environmental responsibility. For these reasons, integrating carbon emissions into inventory control for deteriorating items not only aligns inventory practices with sustainability goals but also fosters resilience in a business's overall supply chain strategy, preparing companies to operate effectively within an increasingly environmentally regulated global market.

This paper provides a theoretical overview of invs for deteriorating items that consider carbon emissions. These models demonstrate how companies can adopt emission-sensitive practices in inventory management to reduce environmental impact while maintaining operational efficiency. By exploring various approaches to emission-sensitive inventory control, the paper highlights strategies that allow businesses to navigate the complex balance between economic goals and ecological responsibility, aligning their inventory practices with global sustainability objectives.

II. Key Considerations in Inventory Management for Deteriorating Items

Inventory management for deteriorating items is inherently complex due to the unique challenges associated with time-sensitive products. These items require careful planning to ensure they are used or sold before their quality degrades beyond acceptable limits, which is especially critical for perishable goods such as food, pharmaceuticals, and chemicals. Each stage of managing these items—from storage to disposal—introduces both economic and environmental considerations, necessitating models that balance traditional cost factors with carbon emission implications. The following sections outline the primary considerations for managing deteriorating items in a carbon-sensitive framework.

2.1 Deterioration Rates and Shelf Life

Deterioration rates refer to the rate at which an item loses its quality or usability over time, which varies significantly across product types. Food items, for example, may spoil within days, while certain pharmaceuticals and chemicals may remain usable for months but still degrade over time, impacting their effectiveness and value. Managing these deterioration rates is essential to optimizing inventory levels and reducing waste, as holding excessive inventory increases the risk of spoilage, leading to both financial losses and environmental impacts from disposal. Factors like temperature, humidity, and storage conditions directly influence deterioration rates, making controlled storage environments, such as refrigeration or humidity-controlled warehouses, crucial for extending shelf life (Dye & Yang, 2015; Tiwari et al., 2018).

However, while temperature-controlled storage can mitigate deterioration, it also comes with increased energy demands, which contribute to a company's overall carbon footprint. For example, cold storage for perishable goods requires continuous electricity, generating indirect emissions from energy consumption (Sarkar et al., 2016). Therefore, companies managing deteriorating items must weigh the benefits of extended shelf life against the environmental cost of energy-intensive storage. As noted by Das and Jharkharia (2018), integrating carbon-sensitive considerations into deterioration management helps organizations minimize both waste and emissions, supporting a more sustainable approach to inventory control.

2.2 Demand Forecasting and Stock Replenishment

Accurate demand forecasting is crucial for items with limited shelf lives, as miscalculations can result in either surplus inventory, which risks spoilage, or insufficient stock, leading to lost sales opportunities. Traditional inventory models often overlook the perishability of items in demand planning, potentially leading to excess stock that deteriorates before it can be sold. Combining demand forecasting with just-in-time (JIT) inventory strategies is a solution that can reduce excess inventory. The JIT approach enables businesses to align stock replenishment closely with real-time demand, thereby reducing the likelihood of spoilage and lowering holding costs (Lee, 2020; Xu et al., 2017).

However, implementing JIT strategies for perishable goods requires frequent, smaller shipments, which may increase transportation emissions. Transportation is a significant contributor to a company's carbon footprint, as each delivery involves fuel usage and emissions. Balancing JIT strategies with carbon reduction goals is thus a delicate task, as companies must assess whether the environmental benefits of reduced waste outweigh the emissions associated with frequent restocking (Daryanto & Wee, 2018). Effective inventory models for deteriorating items consider these trade-offs, optimizing for both minimized spoilage and reduced emissions from transportation (Ji et al., 2017).

2.3 Economic and Environmental Cost Implications

Traditional inventory models primarily aim to minimize holding and ordering costs. However, for deteriorating items, emission-sensitive models add carbon as an additional cost factor to account for the environmental impact of production, storage, and distribution. Carbon emissions arise at various points in the inventory process: manufacturing emissions during production, energy emissions during storage, fuel emissions from transportation, and finally, waste emissions from disposal (Rani et al., 2019). Emission-sensitive models quantify these environmental costs, providing companies with a more comprehensive view of the total cost associated with holding deteriorating items.

For deteriorating items specifically, disposal costs are a notable consideration, as products that surpass their shelf life must often be discarded. This disposal not only incurs financial costs but also generates emissions from waste management processes, including transportation to disposal sites and methane emissions from decomposing organic waste in landfills (Lou et al., 2015). Reducing instances of excess inventory and waste can thus yield both economic and environmental benefits, as companies save on disposal costs and reduce emissions linked to waste. In this way, emission-sensitive inventory models offer a dual advantage, aligning traditional cost objectives with environmental goals (Hovelaque & Bironneau, 2015; Sarkar et al., 2018).

2.4 Regulatory Pressures and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

As carbon reduction targets become increasingly common in global and regional regulations, businesses face mounting pressure to incorporate emission management into their inventory practices. Policies like carbon taxes, cap-and-trade schemes, and emissions caps enforce emission limits, with penalties for non-compliance, directly impacting inventory decisions, particularly for companies managing high-emission deteriorating goods (Xu et al., 2016). For example, companies that exceed their carbon allowances under cap-and-trade systems may incur substantial penalties, motivating them to reduce their emissions through optimized inventory management (Drake et al., 2016). Inventory models that include carbon emissions as a cost or constraint enable companies to align with these regulations more effectively, avoiding fines while reducing their environmental impact.

In addition to regulatory pressures, CSR has become a crucial factor in consumer purchasing decisions, with more consumers favoring brands that demonstrate environmental stewardship. Companies adopting carbon-sensitive inventory models can leverage these practices to improve their brand reputation, as environmentally conscious consumers increasingly prioritize businesses with sustainable practices. By reducing emissions in their inventory processes, companies can appeal to this consumer base, demonstrating their commitment to environmental responsibility and differentiating themselves in a competitive market (Saxena et al., 2017; Singh & Jawla, 2016). The integration of CSR into inventory management aligns with broader sustainability goals and strengthens brand loyalty, showcasing a company's dedication to both economic and environmental objectives.

III.Emission-Sensitive Inventory Models

The growing emphasis on sustainability has led to the development of emission-sensitive inventory models that account for carbon emissions in addition to traditional cost considerations. These models extend beyond the classic Economic Order Quantity (EOQ) model, which primarily aims to minimize ordering and holding costs without factoring in environmental impacts. Emission-sensitive inventory models incorporate carbon costs as either an integral part of the total cost or as a constraint, providing businesses with insights into the trade-offs between cost efficiency and environmental responsibility.

3.1 Traditional EOQ vs. Emission-Sensitive Inventory Models

The traditional EOQ model focuses on optimizing order quantities by balancing ordering and holding costs, yet it overlooks the environmental costs associated with inventory management. Newer emission-sensitive models adjust the EOQ framework to include an emission cost component, thereby allowing firms to account for carbon emissions in their inventory decisions. By adding emissions as a variable, these models enable companies to understand the relationship between inventory levels and environmental impact, highlighting the potential cost of emissions generated during production, transportation, and storage (Rani et al., 2019; Lee, 2020). This modified approach not only addresses financial objectives but also aligns with sustainability goals, encouraging businesses to minimize their carbon footprint throughout the inventory process.

3.2 Carbon Cost as a Variable in Inventory Decisions

In emission-sensitive inventory models, carbon costs are integrated as either part of the total cost or as a constraint, influencing inventory decisions directly. For instance, companies might set a threshold for allowable emissions, with penalties for exceeding this limit. This approach creates a financial incentive for reducing emissions, prompting companies to explore more efficient stock management practices, optimize transportation routes, and adopt sustainable storage solutions (Sarkar et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017). By introducing carbon as a cost variable, businesses are encouraged to make environmentally conscious choices that also offer potential cost savings. These decisions may include consolidating shipments to reduce transportation frequency or adopting energy-efficient technologies in storage facilities to lower emissions from warehousing.

3.3 Multi-Objective Models

Multi-objective models expand on emission-sensitive frameworks by considering both economic costs and emission reductions as dual objectives. This approach enables businesses to achieve optimal inventory levels while maintaining emissions within acceptable limits, offering a balanced perspective between cost efficiency and environmental sustainability. Multi-objective models are particularly valuable in industries handling perishable goods, as they allow for inventory strategies that satisfy both cost constraints and carbon goals (Dye & Yang, 2015; Das & Jharkharia, 2018). For example, in the food industry, where both spoilage and carbon emissions are significant concerns, multi-objective models can guide decision-making to minimize waste while controlling emissions from frequent restocking. These models often employ trade-off analysis to help companies find the balance between cost and emission reduction goals, a process that is increasingly relevant as businesses face pressure from both regulators and environmentally conscious consumers. Multi-objective models thus provide a comprehensive solution, empowering businesses to pursue profitability while actively contributing to sustainability initiatives.

IV. Strategies for Reducing Carbon Emissions in Inventory Systems

With growing pressures to meet both environmental and operational goals, inventory systems must incorporate strategies that reduce carbon emissions at every stage. For industries managing deteriorating items, this requires particular care in managing transportation, storage, supplier relationships, and production to ensure minimal environmental impact without compromising product quality or availability. Below are key strategies aimed at integrating emission reduction into inventory management.

4.1 Transportation Optimization

Transportation accounts for a significant portion of carbon emissions in inventory systems, especially for companies that frequently transport perishable or time-sensitive items. Optimizing transportation routes, reducing frequency of shipments, and adopting fuel-efficient or electric vehicles can collectively lower emissions. For instance, consolidating shipments into fewer, larger loads reduces the number of trips, thus lowering fuel consumption and emissions associated with frequent transportation (Das & Jharkharia, 2018; Tiwari et al., 2018). Careful planning is essential to ensure that reducing shipment frequency does not result in stockouts or spoilage, which is especially important for deteriorating items like food or pharmaceuticals.

Companies are also exploring last-mile delivery solutions that are environmentally friendly, such as using bicycles or electric vehicles in urban areas, where emissions from conventional fuel vehicles are particularly high. Additionally, route optimization software has become integral for companies aiming to reduce transportation emissions, as these tools calculate the most fuel-efficient routes and schedules, balancing the need for quick delivery with sustainability goals (Sarkar et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017).

4.2 Energy-Efficient Storage Solutions

Storage facilities consume a large amount of energy, especially when they involve temperature-sensitive items that require refrigeration or climate control. Adopting energy-efficient practices within storage facilities is crucial to lowering emissions. For example, switching to LED lighting, automated climate control, and high-efficiency insulation materials can significantly reduce the carbon footprint of cold storage facilities. Cold storage, which is essential for preserving perishable goods, can be particularly energy-intensive; thus, even small efficiency improvements can result in substantial emission reductions over time (Bai et al., 2019; Rani et al., 2019).

Further, smart warehouse technologies that monitor temperature and humidity in real time help to maintain optimal storage conditions while minimizing energy use. Energy management systems (EMS) are increasingly being used to track and optimize energy consumption, providing insights into areas where emissions can be reduced. Through energy-efficient storage, companies not only cut down on emissions but also realize cost savings, as efficient facilities generally have lower operational costs (Ahmad et al., 2023).

4.3 Supplier Selection and Green Procurement

Choosing suppliers based on environmental practices and geographic proximity can further reduce emissions in inventory systems. Sourcing goods from suppliers located closer to distribution centers or retail outlets minimizes emissions associated with long-distance transportation. This approach, often referred to as local sourcing, helps companies lower their overall carbon footprint and promotes regional economic growth (Hovelaque & Bironneau, 2015; Ji et al., 2017).

Green procurement practices also encourage companies to select suppliers that use environmentally friendly processes and materials. For example, opting for suppliers that use recyclable or biodegradable packaging materials reduces waste and the carbon footprint of packaging disposal. In industries handling deteriorating items, green procurement also means working with suppliers who prioritize sustainable practices, such as efficient agricultural methods for food products or environmentally conscious manufacturing for pharmaceuticals. Companies that implement green procurement not only benefit from emission reductions but also enhance their reputation as environmentally responsible businesses (Lou et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2015).

4.4 Minimizing Overproduction and Waste

Excessive production and inventory are particularly detrimental for companies dealing with deteriorating items, as overproduction often leads to waste and additional emissions from disposal and storage. Aligning production rates more closely with accurate demand forecasts is key to reducing overproduction. Just-in-time (JIT) inventory strategies are especially valuable in this regard, as they enable companies to produce and stock items based on immediate demand rather than anticipating future needs. This approach minimizes holding times, reducing the likelihood of spoilage and waste (Sharma et al., 2024; Peng et al., 2023).

Moreover, by reducing overproduction, companies lessen emissions associated with waste disposal processes, such as incineration or landfilling, which release greenhouse gases. For example, the food industry, which faces high rates of perishable waste, benefits from using JIT and other demand-driven models that limit inventory to what is immediately needed. Minimizing overproduction also leads to lower energy consumption during storage and transportation, supporting companies in achieving both cost efficiency and reduced environmental impact (Hasan et al., 2021; Dye & Yang, 2015).

Implementing these strategies allows companies to systematically reduce their carbon footprint while meeting customer demand efficiently. Each approach supports sustainable practices that benefit both the environment and operational performance, positioning businesses to navigate both regulatory requirements and market expectations for eco-conscious practices.

V. Benefits of Integrating Carbon Emissions into Inventory Models

Integrating carbon emissions into inventory management models for deteriorating items provides numerous advantages, allowing businesses to balance economic efficiency with environmental responsibility. These benefits include cost savings, regulatory compliance, and alignment with long-term sustainability goals, each of which supports a competitive, sustainable business model.

5.1 Cost Efficiency and Waste Reduction

Incorporating carbon emissions considerations into inventory models helps companies reduce waste and streamline storage and transportation, leading to significant cost savings. By carefully managing inventory levels to avoid overstocking, businesses can reduce holding costs associated with storing surplus goods, particularly items that deteriorate over time. Additionally, energy-efficient storage solutions, such as automated climate control and LED lighting, reduce operating expenses while lowering emissions (Tiwari et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2023). As inventory models account for emissions, companies are encouraged to optimize transportation routes and frequencies, reducing fuel consumption and transportation costs. This approach not only conserves resources but also minimizes the environmental impact of storage and distribution processes, contributing to a more efficient supply chain (Sharma et al., 2024).

5.2 Regulatory Compliance and Brand Reputation

Emission-sensitive inventory models position companies to meet increasingly stringent regulatory requirements, such as carbon taxes and emission caps, helping them avoid penalties and maintain compliance. By proactively managing carbon emissions, businesses demonstrate a commitment to environmental responsibility, building consumer trust and enhancing brand reputation in the market. As consumers become more eco-conscious, companies that adopt sustainable practices in their inventory management often gain a competitive edge, appealing to customers who prioritize green brands (Ahmad et al., 2023; Hovelaque & Bironneau, 2015). These models also allow businesses to better prepare for future regulations, making them adaptable and resilient in a landscape where environmental standards are likely to tighten.

5.3 Alignment with Long-Term Sustainability Goals

Carbon-sensitive inventory models align operational practices with a company's broader sustainability objectives, fostering a cohesive approach to environmental stewardship. By managing emissions throughout the inventory lifecycle—from production and transportation to disposal—companies can actively support their sustainability targets while maintaining resilience in operations. This approach not only contributes to corporate social responsibility (CSR) goals but also establishes a foundation for long-term environmental performance (Rani et al., 2019; Dye & Yang, 2015). Emission-sensitive models provide insights that help businesses adopt continuous improvements, positioning them as leaders in sustainable supply chain management and setting a positive example for industry standards.

By adopting emission-sensitive inventory models, companies achieve a balance between financial and environmental priorities, fostering sustainable growth while contributing to global efforts to reduce carbon footprints. These models empower businesses to act responsibly in the face of environmental challenges, supporting both profitability and ecological health.

VI. Challenges in Implementing Emission-Sensitive Inventory Models

While the integration of carbon-sensitive inventory models offers significant advantages in balancing economic efficiency and environmental goals, companies face several practical challenges in adopting these models. The complexities of managing data accuracy, addressing multi-objective optimization, and handling implementation costs can pose barriers to successful adoption, especially for smaller firms. This section explores these challenges in greater depth, highlighting the need for innovative approaches and technology to address them effectively.

6.1 Data Accuracy and Real-Time Forecasting

For emission-sensitive inventory models to function optimally, precise data on emissions and real-time demand forecasting is essential. Carbon emissions vary across stages of the inventory process, from production and storage to transportation, making it crucial for companies to gather accurate, granular data. Without reliable data, companies may make suboptimal decisions, potentially increasing costs or failing to meet emissions targets (Ji et al., 2017; Lou et al., 2015). The complexity of collecting such data can be intensified for deteriorating items, where variables like temperature and storage duration significantly impact emissions levels.

Real-time demand forecasting further complicates data management, as fluctuating demand affects inventory turnover rates and emissions. Accurate demand forecasting is essential to ensure that deteriorating items are stocked efficiently, preventing excess waste and storage emissions. However, real-time forecasting often requires advanced analytics and machine learning, adding to the complexity and cost of data management systems. Additionally, in sectors with unpredictable demand, such as food and pharmaceuticals, maintaining data accuracy and updating forecasts in real time becomes a significant operational challenge, requiring investments in monitoring tools and predictive analytics (Ahmad et al., 2023; Sarkar & Ganguly, 2016).

6.2 Complexity of Multi-Objective Optimization

Emission-sensitive models must simultaneously balance multiple objectives: minimizing costs, reducing emissions, and maintaining service levels. This multi-objective optimization is particularly challenging for deteriorating items, where excess stock leads to waste, while inadequate stock risks stockouts and service disruptions. Integrating these objectives requires sophisticated algorithms that can evaluate trade-offs between financial performance and environmental impact in real time (Xu et al., 2016; Tiwari et al., 2018).

Traditional inventory models focus on cost minimization, but adding carbon reduction as a co-objective demands advanced optimization tools, such as Pareto efficiency or weighted optimization, which account for the trade-offs between cost and emissions. The complexity of balancing these factors increases further for deteriorating items, where spoilage and limited shelf life add additional constraints. Tools like dynamic programming, genetic algorithms, and machine learning algorithms are often required to achieve an effective multi-objective optimization, but these tools demand significant computational resources and specialized expertise (Das & Jharkharia, 2018). Companies lacking in-house analytics capabilities may need to rely on third-party solutions, which adds costs and potential operational delays.

6.3 High Implementation Costs

Implementing emission-sensitive inventory models entails considerable upfront investment, including software acquisition, training, and infrastructure upgrades. For small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), these high costs can be prohibitive, even if the long-term savings and environmental benefits are clear. Advanced inventory management software, data analytics platforms, and real-time monitoring systems are often required to handle the complexities of carbon-sensitive models. Additionally, training employees to use these systems effectively is essential, as proper implementation relies on skilled personnel who can interpret data accurately and make informed decisions based on multi-objective outcomes (Kumari et al., 2023; Hovelaque & Bironneau, 2015).

The cost of technology, combined with ongoing expenses for data management and analytics, makes it challenging for companies with limited budgets to adopt these models fully. Moreover, transitioning from traditional inventory management to emission-sensitive models may require a period of operational adjustment, potentially disrupting workflows and impacting productivity. Companies must weigh these high initial expenses against potential long-term benefits, such as cost savings from reduced waste, compliance with emissions regulations, and enhanced brand reputation (Rani et al., 2019; Bazan et al., 2017).

6.4 Addressing Challenges Through Technological and Strategic Solutions

To mitigate these challenges, companies are increasingly exploring innovative solutions, such as cloud-based platforms for scalable data management and analytics, which can reduce the upfront cost of deploying emission-sensitive models. Cloud-based systems offer flexible solutions that accommodate small- and medium-sized businesses, allowing them to scale inventory management capabilities as they grow. Strategic partnerships with software providers can also help companies access advanced technology with reduced financial burden through subscription-based models (Dye & Yang, 2015; Peng et al., 2023).

In addition, developing collaborative networks with suppliers and logistics providers enables companies to collect more accurate data on carbon emissions throughout the supply chain. Such collaborations support shared goals for emission reduction and can reduce the costs of data acquisition and technology adoption. By addressing data accuracy, balancing optimization objectives, and exploring cost-effective implementation strategies, companies can effectively incorporate emission-sensitive models into their inventory systems, achieving both economic and environmental goals.

while emission-sensitive inventory models for deteriorating items present complex challenges, innovative approaches in data management, multi-objective optimization, and strategic investments can help overcome these barriers. By adopting these practices, companies can advance toward a sustainable future in inventory management, aligning with global environmental goals and enhancing resilience in an increasingly eco-conscious market.

VII. Future Directions for Research

As sustainable inventory practices become more crucial, research is needed to advance emission-sensitive models, particularly for industries dealing with goods. Key research areas include:

7.1 Industry-Specific Models

Developing tailored models for food, pharmaceutical, and chemical industries will improve the accuracy of emissions considerations in managing deteriorating items.

7.2 Integration of Circular Economy Practices

Incorporating recycling, remanufacturing, and reuse strategies into inventory models can minimize waste and emissions. Closed-loop models that reuse or recyrating goods can help companies reduce their carbon footprint.

7.3 Advanced Data Analytics and AI Applications

Leveraging data analytics and AI can enhance demand forecasting, optimize production schedules, and offer real-time insights for emissions reduction, making inventoore

VIII. CONCLUSION

Integrating carbon emissions into inventory control models for deteriorating items represents a significant step toward sustainable business practices. As industries adapt to growing environmental regulations and consumer demand for eco-friendly practices, emission-sensitive inventory models offer a dual advantage: they enable cost savings through optimized resource use and minimize environmental impact by reducing emissions throughout the inventory process. For deteriorating items, where shelf life constraints amplify the risk of waste and spoilage, these models provide critical insights for managing stock levels efficiently. By incorporating emissions as a cost factor or constraint, companies can make informed decisions that balance operational efficiency with ecological responsibility, helping to avoid regulatory penalties, enhance brand reputation, and align with corporate social responsibility (CSR) objectives. Despite the challenges of data accuracy, multi-objective optimization, and high implementation costs, technological advancements and collaborative supply chain strategies offer viable pathways for overcoming these barriers. As more companies adopt carbon-sensitive inventory models, they contribute to a broader vision of sustainable inventory management, ultimately supporting global efforts to combat climate change and foster responsible growth

REFERENCES

[1]. Lee, J. Y. (2020). Investing in carbon emissions reduction in the EOQ model. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 71(8), 1289-1300.

[2]. Bai Q., Gongb Y., Jin M., Xue X., (2019). “Effects of carbon emission reduction on supply chain coordination with vendor-managed deteriorating product inventory. International Journal of Production Economics, 208, 83-99.

[3]. Rani, S., Ali, R., & Agarwal, A. (2019). Fuzzy inventory model for deteriorating items in a green supply chain with carbon concerned demand. Opsearch, 56(1), 91-122

[4]. Kung K.Y., Huang Y.D., Wee H.M., Daryanto Y., (2019). Production-Inventory System for Deteriorating Items with Machine Breakdown, Inspection, and Partial Backordering. Mathematics 7, 616;

[5]. Daryanto Y., Wee H. M., (2018). “Low Carbon Economic Production Quantity Model for Imperfect Quality Deteriorating Items”. International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IJIEEM), 1(1)

[6]. Das C., JharkhariaS., (2018). “Low carbon supply chain: a state-of-theartliteraturereview”.J. Manuf. Technol. Manage. 29(2), 398–428.

[7]. Sarkar B., Ahmed W., Kim N., (2018).“Joint effects of variable carbon emission cost and multi-delay-in-payments under single-setup-multiple-delivery policy in a global sustainable supply chain”. Journal of Cleaner Production 185, 421–445.

[8]. Tiwari S., Daryanto Y., Wee H.M., (2018). “Sustainable inventory management with deteriorating and imperfect quality items considering carbon emissions”. Journal of Cleaner Production 192,281–292.

[9]. Bazan E., Jaber M.Y., ZanoniS., (2017). “Carbon emissions and energy effects on a twolevel manufacturer-retailer closed-loop supply chain model with remanufacturing subject to different coordination mechanisms”. International Journal of Production Economics 183, 394–408.

[10]. Ji J., Zhang Z., Yang L., (2017). “Carbon emission reduction decisions in the retail-dual channel supply chain with consumer's preference”. Journal Cleaner Prouction 141, 852– 867.

[11]. SabzevarN., Enns S.T., BergersonJ., KettunenJ., (2017). “Modeling competitive firms' performance under price-sensitive demand and cap-and-trade emissions constraints”. International Journal of Production Economy 184, 193–209. 13

[12]. Saxena N., Singh S.R. and Sana S.S. (2017): “A green supply chain model of vendor and buyer for remanufacturing items”. RAIRO - Operations Research, 51(4), 1133-1150.

[13]. Xu X., Zhang W., He P., Xu X., (2017). “Production and pricing problems in make-

toorder supply chain with cap-and-trade regulation". Omega 66, 248–257.

[14]. Yang L., Zhang Q. Ji J., (2017). "Pricing and carbon emission reduction decisions in supply chains with vertical and horizontal cooperation". International Journal of Production Economics 191, 286–297.

[15]. Drake D.F., Kleindorfer P.R., VanWassenhove L.N., (2016). Technology choice and capacity port folios under emission regulation. Prod. Oper. Manag. 25(6), 1006–1025.

[16]. Sarkar B., Ganguly B., Sarkar M., Pareek S., (2016). "Effect of variable transportation and carbon emission in a three-echelon supply chain model". Transport. Res. Part E: Logist. Transport. Rev. 91(1), 112–128.

[17]. Singh S.R., and Jawla P. (2016). "A reverse logistic inventory model for imperfect production process with preservation technology investment under learning and inflationary environment". Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 4(2), 107-122.

[18]. Xu J.,ChenY., Bai Q., (2016). "A two-echelon sustainable supply chain coordination under cap-and-trade regulation". Journal of Cleaner Production, 42–56.

[19]. Dye, C.-Y., & Yang, C.-T. (2015). "Sustainable trade credit and replenishment decisions with credit-linked demand under carbon emission constraints". European Journal of Operational Research, 244(1), 187-200.

[20]. Hovelaque,V., Bironneau L. (2015)."The carbon-constrained EOQ model with carbon emission dependent demand". International Journal of Production Economics, 164, 285- 291.

[21]. Lou G. X., Xia H.Y. J., Zhang Q., & Fan T. J. (2015). Investment strategy of emissionreduction technology in a supply Chain. Sustainability, 7(8), 10684-10708.

[22]. Qin J., Bai X., & Xia L. (2015)."Sustainable trade credit and replenishment policies under the cap-and-trade and carbon tax regulations". Sustainability, 7, 16340-16361.